**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 26 2006

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 05-10345

Plaintiff - Appellee,

D.C. No. CR-04-02261-RCC

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

JAIME GONZALEZ-EDEZA,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona Raner C. Collins, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 24, 2006\*\*

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Jaime Gonzalez-Edeza appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and 21-month sentence for illegal reentry after deportation in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a). We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for Gonzalez-Edeza has filed a brief stating that he finds no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our examination of the brief and our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83 (1988), disclose no grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.